1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DAVID L. FULBRIGHT, 10 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-04-2490 DFL PAN P 12 VS. 13 D.L. RUNNELS, Warden, 14 Respondent. **ORDER** 15 16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has timely filed a notice of appeal of 17 this court's March 17, 2006 denial of his application for a writ of habeas corpus.¹ Before 18 petitioner can appeal this decision, a certificate of appealability must issue. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); 19 Fed. R. App. P. 22(b). 20 A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "only if the 21 applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. 22 § 2253(c)(2). The court must either issue a certificate of appealability indicating which issues 23 satisfy the required showing or must state the reasons why such a certificate should not issue. 24 Fed. R. App. P. 22(b). 25

26

¹ By order filed May 3, 2006, petitioner was granted an additional thirty days in which to file a notice of appeal and a certificate of appealability application.

Case 2:04-cv-02490-DFL-PAN Document 20 Filed 10/11/06 Page 2 of 2

For the reasons set forth in the magistrate judge's December 13, 2005 findings and recommendations, petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. Accordingly, a certificate of appealability should not issue in this action. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 10/10/2006 DAVID F. LEVI United States District Judge